

## The data deluge: Overcoming the barriers to extreme scale science

#### Scott Klasky

SSDBM - Copenhagen 2022 Ana Gainaru, Qian Gong, Norbert Podhorszki, Dave Pugmire ORNL

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy

Adjunct Faculty in Computer Science: Georgia Tech



## Thanks to the many of my collaborators

- Hasan Abbasi
- Mark Ainsworth
- Chuck Atkins
- Vicente Bolea
- Michael Bussmann
- CS. Chang
- Jieyang Chen
- Hank Childs
- Jong Choi
- Michael Churchill
- Philip Davis
- Ciprian Docan
- Greg Eisenhauer
- Stephane Ethier
- Ana Gainaru
- Dmitry Ganyushin
- Kai Germaschewski
- Berk Geveci
- William Godoy
- Qian Gong
- Junmin Gu



- Axel Huebl
- Chen Jin
- Mark Kim
- Brad King
- James Kress
- S.H. Ku
- Ralph Kube
- Tahsin Kurc
- Xin Liang
- Zhihong Lin
- Qing Liu
- Jay Lofstead
- Jeremy Logan
- Kshitij Mehta
- Ken Moreland
- Todd Munson
- Manish Parashar
- Franz Pöschel
- Dave Pugmire
- Anand Rangarajan
- Sanjay Ranka
- Caitlin Ross

- Nagiza Samatova
- Karsten Schwan
- Ari Shoshani
- Eric Suchyta
- Fred Suter
- Keichi Takahashi
- William Tang
- Roselyne Tchoua
- Nick Thompson
- Seiji Tsutsumi
- Ozan Tugluk
- Lipeng Wan
- Ruonan Wang
- Ben Whitney
- Matthew Wolf
- Kesheng Wu
- Bing Xie
- Fan Zhang
- Fang Zheng

## We are looking for motivated scientists and software engineers

- Postdoctoral Research Associate Computer Science/Applied Mathematics
  - https://jobs.ornl.gov/job-invite/8517/
- Scientific Software Engineer
  - <u>https://jobs.ornl.gov/job/Oak-Ridge-Scientific-Software-Engineer-TN-37831/883774400/</u>
- Computer Scientist
  - <u>https://jobs.ornl.gov/job/Oak-Ridge-Computer-Scientist-TN-37830/883927400/</u>



## The data deluge

- Computing capability has increased by a factor of 10<sup>15</sup> over the past 70 years
- Applications push the boundaries of data: e.g., Radio Astronomy
  - In 2022 the Vera C. Rubin Observatory in Chile will collect 20 terabytes/night as part of the Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST)
  - In 2028 the Square Kilometre Array, will generate 100 times that amount
- Filesystem/network bandwidth falls behind CPU/memory: Fewer bytes/operation
- Our goal is to create the proper abstractions & frameworks to cope with this deluge

1943, 5K Flops, Electronic Numerical Integrator And Computer – no filesystem



2022 , 1.6 Ex Flops, Frontier, LUSTRE filesystem

Filesystems continue to fall behind computing power





### Outline

R&D Analytics Visualization Understand simulations, experiments and observations





Application Impact: High Energy Physics, Accelerator Physics, Cancer, Smart Medicine , Climate , Combustion, Hypersonics, Rocket Science, General Relativity, Molecular Dynamics, Chemistry, Radio Astronomy, Fusion , Seismology, Wind Turbine

Understanding new technologies



R&D Staging & Coupling R&D in Scientific Data Management



## Supercomputing changes in the last 30 years



1988: Cray Y-MP:0.0000027 Pflops: Vector Processors, SSD for storage (13.6 GB/s)



1996:Cray T3E: 0.001 PFlops, massively parallel



1998: 0.0025 Pflops, ASCI Blue Mountain: shared memory across all procs



2002: Earth Simulator 0.040 Tflops, 50MW, vector procs





Pflops, NVIDIA GPUs

2009: Cray XT5: 2.5 Pflops: Multi-core, LUSTRE storage system

**Observations:** 

- Ratio of Storage/Flops keeps getting worse
- I/O variability gets worse as systems scale

2018: IBM Summit: 200 Pflops , NVIDIA GPUs



2022: Cray Frontier: 2000 Pflops , AMD GPUs, Burst Buffer Storage 10TB/s, long term at 4.6 TB/s

- New applications are running complex workflows with AI + HPC applications
- Experimental/Observational data is outpacing compute & storage

**WARE NOTE:** A stream of the s

#### Frontier: First Exascale computer on the top500 list

- System URL: https://www.olcf.ornl.gov/frontier/
- Manufacturer: HPE
- Cores: 8,730,112
- Processor: AMD 3rd Gen EPYC 64C 2GHz
- Interconnect: Slingshot-11
- Installation Year: 2021
- 9,472 nodes, 4 GPUs/node

#### Performance

- Linpack Performance (Rmax) 1,102.00 PFlop/s
- Theoretical Peak (Rpeak) 1,685.65 PFlop/s
- Nmax 24,440,832
- Power: 21,100.00 kW
- Operating System: HPE Cray OS

#### Storage

• 37 PB of node local NVMe, 716 PB of center-wide storage



## Our vision: creating a pub/sub system for high performance SDM

- Currently applications are typically • programmed to
  - Write/read to storage
  - Perform in-line visualization or in-transit •
- Our vision is to allows applications to • publish and subscribe to data
  - With no modifications, any code can tap • into the I/O system
  - Data can stream in a "refactored" manner • allowing the "most important" information to be prioritized in the streams
  - Data written and read to storage will be • highly optimized on HPC resources and queriable



HPC Center

App allocation

Application

₹1/0

Storage

₹1/0

**O** Visualization

HPC Center

1 App allocation

Application

Instrumentation

Instrumentation O Visualization

GE D. Pugmire, J. Huang, S. Klasky, and K. Moreland: The Need for Pervasive In Situ Analysis and Visualization (P-ISAV), WOIV'22.

#### klasky@ornl.gov, scottk007@gmail.com

Other tools and locations

HPC Center

1 App allocation

✿ Application

Instrumentation

Instrumentation

O Visualization

₹1/0

Vis allocation (local or remote

### eXtreme Scale Service Oriented Architecture (XSOA)

- Philosophy based on Service-Oriented Architecture
  - Deal with system/application complexity, rapidly changing requirements, evolving target platforms, and diverse teams
- Applications constructed by assembling services based on a universal view of their functionality using an API
- Implementations can be changed and assembled easily
- Manage complexity while maintaining performance, scalability
  - Complexity from the problem (complex physics) and the codes
  - Complexity of underlying disruptive infrastructure
  - Complexity from coordination across codes and research teams
  - Complexity of the end-to-end workflows

### Think about Storage, I/O, analysis, visualization in a new way

- Design abstractions to work with data at rest and in motion
- Understand the differences between data and information
- Create new mathematical frameworks to create a hierarchy of information from the data
  - Similar to Adaptive Mesh Refinement, Multigrid techniques for Partial Differential Equations
  - Similar to how we deal with images, movies, but have well defined error bounds
- Create new analytics and visualization to take advantage of thew new abstractions and frameworks
- Record provenance to aid in the data lifecycle
- Use automation to aid in the process



### Moving towards new physics

Homoclinic tangle is produced by microturbulence and breaks the last confinement surface in tokamak, and was first discovered while running a coupled workflow using ADIOS-2

#### Science

- Tokamak plasma is designed to have the last magnetic confinement surface, called separatrix surface possessing a hyperbolic fixed point: X-point
- It has been long known that homoclinic tangle can exist when there is 3D perturbation δB in the magnetic field [Poincare, 1881], disturbing or destroying the last confinement surface if δB is large enough.

#### Discovery

- Gyrokinetic simulation in XGC discovers that the intrinsic electromagnetic microturbulence in a stationary operation condition generates homoclinic tangle and destroys the last confinement surface around the X-point
- This discovery opens up new research topics:
  - A new escape route for confined plasma to open region
  - Non-local physics interactions between edge pedestal and divertor plasmas
  - Spreading the divertor heat-load footprint in fusion reactors, such as ITER

#### Fluctuating homoclinic tangles in fullcurrent ITER edge, predicted by XGC





# In-memory coupling and online analysis on Top 10 HPC systems



### Coupling several codes for a full digital twin of HIBEF experiments



### Outline

R&D Analytics Visualization Understand simulations, experiments and observations

#### **Application Impact:**

Celeritas, DeepdriveMD, E3SM, GE, GENE, GEM, GTC, JAXA, KSTAR, LAMMPS, NNESH, PIConGPU, S3D, SKA, SPECFEM3D\_GLOBE, TAE, XGC, WarpX,WRF

Understanding new technologies



R&D Staging & Coupling R&D in Scientific Data Management







## ADIOS: high-performance publisher/subscriber 1/O framework

#### Vision

- Create an easy-to-use, high performance I/O abstraction to allow for on-line/off-line memory/file data subscription service
- Create a sustainable solution to work with multi-tier storage and memory systems

#### **Research Details**

- Declarative, publish/subscribe API is separated from the I/O strategy
- Multiple implementations (engines) provide functionality and performance
- Rigorous testing ensures portability
- Data reduction techniques are incorporated to decrease storage cost
- <u>https://github.com/ornladios/ADIOS2</u>

**WARK RIDGE** Godoy, W. F., Klasky, S. ,et al. (2020). ADIOS-2: The adaptable input output system. a framework for high-performance data management. SoftwareX, 12, 100561.



RP4

HDF5

Dataspaces

DataMan

### **Optimizations for a parallel file system**

- Avoid latency (of small writes): Buffer data for large bursts – use a type of self-describing log file format
- Avoid accessing a file system target from many processes at once
  - Aggregate to a small number of actual writers:
  - Avoid lock contention
  - Striping correctly & writing to subfiles
- Avoid global communication
- Topology-aware data movement that takes advantage of topology
  - Find the closest I/O node to each writer
  - Minimize data movement across racks/mid-planes



suipe	0	SUL	he.	±

Application	Nodes/GPUs	Data Size/step	I/O speed
SPECFEM3D	3200/19200	250 TB	~2 TB/sec
GTC	512/3072	2.6 TB	~2 TB/sec
XGC	512/3072	64 TB	1.2 TB/sec
LAMMPS	512/3072	457 GB	1 TB/sec

**CAK RIDGE** Liu, Q., Klasky, S., et al. "Hello ADIOS: the challenges and lessons of developing leadership class I/O frameworks." Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience 26.7 (2014): 1453-1473.

## Space filling curve reordering for optimizing reading performance

- Linear placement of data leads to hotspot on storage nodes
  - Can't leverage aggregated bandwidth, poor scalability
- Distribute data chunks on storage targets along the Hilbert curve ordering
  - Does not change the data organization within each chunk
  - Achieving near-optimal concurrency for any access pattern







2D planes



Consistently good and balanced read performance
Up to **37X** speedup on Jaguar for S3D

**WOAK RIDGE** Tian, Y., Klasky, S., et al.(2011, September). EDO: improving read performance for scientific applications through elastic data organization. In 2011 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing (pp. 93-102).

## Case study with the WarpX code

How do we balance the write vs. read cost of a large scale • HPC application such as WarpX?

- Typical choices are to write to a logically contiguous file or chunk versions of this (e.g., HDF5) or to write separate chunks in many files (e.g., ADIOS-2) using one file per process (FPP) or one file per node (FPN)
- The challenge can be in optimizing reading •
  - What is the most optimal organization? •









Fig. 5. Impact of decomposition schemes when reading

, Huebl, A., Gu, J., Poeschel, F., Gainaru, A., Wang, R., ... & Klasky, S. (2021). Improving I/O performance for exascale applications through online data layout reorganization. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 33(4), 878-890.

## Querying Large Scientific Data Sets

- ADIOS writes metadata for each • variable on each "chunk" of data
  - Currently it contains min/max, but it • can include variance, mean, ...
- Queries can contain 3 parts •
  - The selection box to limit the points considered
  - The query conditions in a form of query predicates 2. connected with AND/OR operators
  - The query output 3.
- 0.0F+00The chunks which satisfy the queries are returned to • ADIOS and then the resultant data is given to the application.
  - This allows analysis tools to quickly query the data with no additional cost for storing indices •

Scalars, Arrays

Scalars, Arrays

Research is in optimizing the merge/split of chunks for Write/Read performance •



2.0E+10

3.0F+10

Num Hits

klasky@ornl.gov, scottk007@gmail.com

4.0F+10

5.0E+10

## Managing I/O variability for applications on HPC systems (Titan)

#### Scientific Achievement

- Created a hidden Markov model of the I/O performance
- Based on observed properties of the distribution of I/O latencies
- Used to characterize and predict the I/O performance of applications

#### Significance and Impact

 Being integrated into ADIOS so that ADIOS can leverage these results to guide the data placement

#### Research Details

- Conduct I/O tests and collect time-dependent I/O traces for seven consecutive days
- Build a hidden Markov model based on the statistical properties observed in the I/O traces
- Validate the model by comparing the distribution of the predicted
   I/O latencies against the distribution of the real latency
- Xie, B., Klasky, S., et al. Characterizing output bottlenecks in a supercomputer. In SC'12: (pp. 1-11). Best student paper nominee.



**Wational Laboratory** Wan, L., Klasky, S., et al. Comprehensive measurement and analysis of the user-perceived I/O performance in a production leadership-class storage system. In 2017 IEEE 37th (ICDCS) (pp. 1022-1031). Best paper finalist.

## I/O Variability

- Developed a runtime system that use short messages to distribute storage state and direct I/O re-routing
- The system consider both write and read performance, by limit the degree of re-routing, and is scalable using a hierarchical scheduler



#### Write Performance







Hopper

**WOAK RIDGE** Liu, Q., Klasky, S., et al. Runtime {I/O} {Re-Routing}+ Throttling on {HPC} Storage. In 5th USENIX Workshop on Hot Topics in Storage and File Systems (HotStorage 13).

### Outline

R&D Analytics Visualization Understand simulations, experiments and observations

#### **Application Impact:**

Celeritas, DeepdriveMD, E3SM, GE, GENE, GEM, GTC, JAXA, KSTAR, LAMMPS, NNESH, PIConGPU, S3D, SKA, SPECFEM3D\_GLOBE, TAE, XGC, WarpX,WRF

Understanding new technologies



R&D Staging & Coupling R&D in Scientific Data Management

R&D Data Refactoring





## Introduction to staging

- Simplistic approach to staging
  - Decouple application performance from storage performance (burst buffer)
- Built on past work with threaded buffered I/O
  - Buffered asynchronous data movement with a single memory copy for networks which support RDMA, TCP, RUDP, or MPI
  - Application blocks for a very short time to copy data to outbound buffer
  - Data is moved asynchronously using server-directed remote reads
- Exploits network hardware for fast data transfer to remote memory
- Value added
  - Allows scientists to use a data-in-transit technique to write, reduce, analyze data
  - Can be used to couple multiple codes together
  - Can be used for asynchronous I/O





## **Staging Options**

#### Transfer mechanisms

- File based
- Network based on the same
   Difference
  - MPI communication
  - RDMA (libfabric, UCX)
  - MPI (one sided, two sided)
  - TCP/ RUDP
- Memory references
- WAN data transfer
  - Files GridFTP, scp, ...
  - Streams TCP, RUDP, RoCE

#### Placement options

- Same core
- the same Different cores/same node
  - Different nodes
  - Different resource (LAN)
  - Different resource (WAN)
  - Hybrid (mixture of options)

#### **Scheduling options**

- Fully synchronous
- Fully asynchronous
- Hybrid
- **Refactoring options**
- Prioritize which data gets moved first
- **Storage options**
- HDF5
- ADIOS-BP5, ...

## I/O pipelines in staging

- Use the staging nodes and create a workflow in the staging nodes
- Mitigate performance impact of I/O of the GTC code by using asynchronous data movement
- Improve total simulation time by 2.7%, but we also improved the reading performance + analyzed the data + visualized the data



**WOAK RIDGE** F. Zheng, S. Klasky, et al., "PreDatA - Preparatory Data Analytics on Peta-Scale Machines", IPDPS 2010.

## The movement for SDM for the convergence of HPC with Al

- Traditional simulations focused on scaling a single app
  - As they move to digital twins, they have been evolving into complex workflows which can mix simulations with AI
- The graphs show the cumulative execution times of a histopathology analysis pipeline that classifies image patches in WSIs to characterize tumor regions and lymphocyte distributions:
  - (Top) when multiple concurrent instances of the models are running in parallel, interference at the storage level causes a delay in the execution of each application shifting the distribution to the right
  - (Bottom) when streaming data directly to the consumer the I/O inference is decreased by 20%
- The results for analyzing 7,000 WSIs with the workflow using 500 concurrent instances on Summit is presented in the bottom: as we see, we can reduce congestion by streaming the data







**WOAK RIDGE** Gainaru, A., Klasky, S., et al., Framework for Automating the I/O of Deep Learning Methods in Biomedical Imaging Applications, submitted to IEE/ACM Transactions on computational biology and bioinformatics, 2022.

## Using hybrid staging to enable extreme-scale scientific analysis

- Can we break algorithms into two parts
  - Embarrassingly parallel do this inline
  - Communication heavy do this asynchronously on separate staging nodes
- Workflow to enable topological analysis of S3D data from large scale simulations
  - Visualization in situ volume rendering
  - Topological feature extraction uses a merge tree approach

#### Main findings

- Topological feature extraction uses the hybrid approach
- Statistics is best with inline processing
- Volume rendering works well in all cases





**WOAK RIDGE** Bennett, Klasky, S., et al. (2012). Combining in-situ and in-transit processing to enable extreme-scale scientific analysis. In SC'12: (pp. 1-9).

#### Data Staging considering Idle CPU Resources Un-used by simulations



Challenge I

-Select suitable idle periods to amortize scheduling costs



#### Harvest Idle Resource for In-Situ Analytics

- Dynamically predict idle resource availability
- Reduce interference with execution





#### **Challenge II**

-Interference between simulation and analytics due to contention on memory hierarchy







#### GTS simulation with parallel coordinate visualization

- Improve time to solution and resource efficiency
- Reduce off-node data movements
- Scale to up to 12288 cores on Hopper Cray XE6



Zheng, F., Klasky, S., et al. (2013). Goldrush: Resource efficient in situ scientific data analytics using finegrained interference aware execution. In SC'13 (pp. 1-12).



#### Using staging to establish capability for near-real time networked analysis of fusion experimental data (KSTAR) Research and develop a streaming workflow framework, to enable near-

- Research and develop a streaming workflow framework, to enable nearreal-time streaming analysis of KSTAR data on a US HPC
- Allow the framework to adopt ML/AI algorithms to enable adaptive near-real-time analysis on large data streams
- Created a framework to enable US fusion researchers to have broader and faster access to the KSTAR data, enabling
  - Faster analysis of data
  - Faster and autonomous utilization of ML/AI algorithms for incoming data
  - More informed steering of experiment

#### Accomplishments

- Created end-to-end Python framework, streams data using ADIOS over WAN (at rates > 4 Gbps), asynchronously processes on multiple workers with MPImulti-threading
- Applied to KSTAR streaming data to NERSC Cori.
- Reduces time for analysis from 12 hours to 10 minutes



## In situ visualization

#### • Why in situ?

- Imbalance between compute and I/O
- Real time analysis and visualization
- Probe a running simulation / experiment
- Post hoc: hard, but the 'how to do it' is straightforward
- In situ: hard **and** the 'how to do it' is harder
- Why ??
  - No 'file' to open
  - In situ is not just one thing
  - Block or crash the simulation
  - Unbounded costs



Integration

Type

Application

Aware

Proximity

On Node

Axes Describing an In Situ System

Direct

Access

Division of

Execution

Space

Division

Operation

Controls

Automatic

Output-Type

Subset

## But it's all in situ



الفرايد الدرية

Haffaz Aladeen

The Dictator (2012 film) - Wikipedia

## Comparing the efficiency of in situ visualization paradigms at scale

- The use of in-transit visualization requires more resources than in-line visualization
  - Overall costs for each paradigm will vary based on use cases
  - Relative efficiencies and costs of different use cases and in situ visualization paradigms was not well understood
  - We identify and demonstrate the use cases where in-transit techniques are both faster and more cost efficient than in-line techniques
    - In-transit techniques are more cost efficient than in-line for communication heavy algorithms at large-scale
- We created a cost model for in situ visualization that shows the performance of each technique at a given scale
- Study conducted on proxy applications running at OLCF
  - Used VTK-m for visualization and ADIOS for intransit data movement



\* OAK RIDGE Kress, J., Klasky, S., et al., (2019). Comparing the efficiency of in situ visualization paradigms at scale. In International Conference on High Performance Computing (pp. 99-117).

### Outline

R&D Analytics Visualization Understand simulations, experiments and observations

## **Application Impact:**

Celeritas, DeepdriveMD, E3SM, GE, GENE, GEM, GTC, JAXA, KSTAR, LAMMPS, NNESH, PIConGPU, S3D, SKA, SPECFEM3D\_GLOBE, TAE, XGC, WarpX,WRF

Understanding new technologies



R&D Staging & Coupling R&D in Scientific Data Management

R&D Data Refactoring





## **DOE/ASCR Data Reduction**

- Bill Spotz (ASCR)
  - Klasky, Najm, Thayer
- Main findings
- Data volumes and velocities from next generation experiments, observations and simulations require new R&D in data reduction

#### **4 Priority Research Directions**

- **1**. Trust: Accuracy and Performance
- 2. Progressive Streaming
- **3**. Feature Preserving
- 4. Platform Portability

**WOAK RIDGE** Klasky, S., Thayer, J., & Najm, H. (2021). Data Reduction for Science: Brochure from the Advanced Scientific Computing Research Workshop..ORNL; SLAC; SNL-CA, SNL-NM.

#### Data Reduction for Science: Brochure from the Advanced Scientific Computing Research

#### Workshop

Scott Klasky, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Jana Thayer, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory Habib Najm, Sandia National Laboratories

Publication date: April 15, 2021 Web DOI: 10.2171/1770192 DOE: Office of Science Technical Contact: William Spotz (<u>William Spotz/@science doe gov</u>

#### Introduction

The reduction of streaming and voluminous data sets while maintaining accurate representations o quantities of interest (QoIs) is a critical capability across the Office of Science (SC). SC-supportec experiments, observations, and simulations produce data at volumes and velocities that are already overwhelming network, storage, and compute capabilities and their projected growth will greatly exacerbate this imbalance. The Advanced Scientific Computing Research program office held a <u>virtua</u> workshop in January 2021, bringing together 155 participants and 41 observers across experimental observational, and computational application areas and research thrust areas in compression, reduced applications of the stress across experimental observational.

representations, experiment-specific triggers, filtering, and feature extraction/QoIs to identify priority research directions (PRD) leading to enhanced capabilities in data reduction. This workshop examined many scientific drivers, such as radio astronomy, fusion, combustion, climate, light sources, nuclear physics, and genomics, which are in desperate need for new Research & Development (R&D) in data reduction, because they currently risk ad hoc decisions that can limit the amount of knowledee gathered from SC facilities.

New workflows are beginning to emerge to both manage data and fully exploit the incredibly rich information produced by SC facilities. These data reduction workflows employ triggering, filtering, sampling, compression, reduced order modeling and feature detection. The workflows extend from observational/experimental devices to networks to remote and local storage to desktop and leadership computing facilities and require optimization across a diverse range of hardware.

In order for application scientists to trust data reduction methodologies, reduction

techniques should be usable and adoptable by communities through best practices, benchmarks, dat sharing, resources sharing, and through the development of tools that enable scientists to navigate thes resources. The workshop focused on new R&D capabilities which can allow scientists to quantify th uncertainties in Qols, along with preserving features to a specified tolerance. Furthermore, progressiv techniques for streaming data need to be developed to enable scientists to make tradeoffs between th uncertainty, speed, and resource utilization. Since these workflows typically run on all types c

Data Reduction for Science Workshop Sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research January 25, 26, and 28, 2021

#### The workshop will be held using a virtual format

Home Agenda Presentations Contact

Scientific observations, experiments, and simulations are producing data at a rate beyond our capacity to store, analyze, stream, and archive. This data almost always contains redundancies and trivialities that hide the important information of interest to scientists. Of necessity, many research groups have already begun reducing the size of their data sets via techniques such as compression, reducer drepresentations, experiment-specific triggers, filtering, and feature extraction. These efforts should be expanded to include mathematical rigor to ensure that quantities of interest are conserved, to be offered as services from scientific user facilities, to be integrated into scientific workshop is to:

 Bring together disparate communities of practice in the data reduction space to foster collaboration and improved understanding of the various techniques

Add to Calendar

ORAU/ORISE Privacy Policy | Contract Acknowledgement

\*\*Support for Internet Explorer 11 will be ending soon. For the best experience using this site, we recommend using Google Chrome, Mozilla FireFox, or Mi Edge as your desktop browser.\*\*

- Outline requirements for data reduction techniques from domain scientists
- Highlight the relevant state-of-the-art in computer science and mathematics
- Identify priority research directions leading to enhanced capabilities in data reduction



## MGARD - Multi-Grid Adaptive Reduction of Data

### MGARD is a transform-based compressor multi-resolution, multi-precision



#### Similar to filtering Fourier coefficients, JPEG, wavelet methods

**WOAK RIDGE** Ainsworth, M., Klasky, S., et al., (2018). Multilevel techniques for compression and reduction of scientific data—the univariate case. Computing and Visualization in Science, 19(5), 65-76.

#### **Decomposition Algorithm**

- Coefficient computation
  - L<sup>2</sup> projection, linear interpolation and subtraction
- Correction solver
  - another *L*<sup>2</sup> projection from fine grid to coarse grid
- Correction application
  - Add correction to the nodal values on the coarse grid
- Recomposition is the inverse of decomposition.





## MGARD Theory: Multilevel Decomposition

• Goal: transform u into a presentation that's amenable to compression

Define multilevel coefficients u\_mc by

u\_mc[x] = 
$$(I - \Pi_{\ell-1}) Q_{\ell} u(x)$$
 if  $x \in N_{\ell}^*$ 

where

- $Q_{\ell}$  is the  $L^2$  projection onto  $V_{\ell}$ , the space of continuous piecewise linear functions on (intermediate) mesh  $P_{\ell}$ , N = 50 N = 250
- $\Pi_{\ell}$  is the linear interpolation onto  $V_{\ell-1}$  the space of continuous piecewise linears on  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell-1}$ 
  - This of this as a restriction operator in MultiGrid
- $\mathcal{N}_{\ell}^*$  is the set of 'new' nodes in  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell}$
- X is the spatial location



N = 1000

### Control of Errors in Raw Data

**Theorem:** Let  $u, \tilde{u} \in V_l$  with multilevel coefficients  $u_mc, \tilde{u}_mc$ . Let  $vol(\mathcal{P}_l)$  be the size of the  $\mathcal{P}_l$  mesh elements. If

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{2^{2s\ell}} \operatorname{vol}(P_{\ell}) \sum_{X \in N_{\ell}^*} |u_{mc}[x] - \tilde{u}_{mc}[x]|^2 \le \tau^2$$

then  $|| u - \tilde{u} ||_s \leq \tau$ .

To apply the theorem, take *u* to be the original function

- Compute the multilevel coefficients u\_mc of u
- Generate ũ mc so that the inequality holds
- Recompose to obtain a reduced function  $\tilde{u}$  respecting the error tolerance



### MGARD - Multi-Grid Adaptive Reduction of Data

MGARD controls the compression errors in quantities of interest

If we know how we'll use the reduced data, we can more aggressively compress

Let  $Q: V_L \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function of analyzer (e.g., the average over some piece of the domain)

 $|Q(u) - Q(\tilde{u})| = |Q(u - \tilde{u})| \le ||Q||_s ||u - \tilde{u}||_{-s} \le \tau$ 

- Here  $|| Q ||_s$  is the operator norm of Q
- The choice of s (i.e., norm) affects how u is compressed
- Depending on the QoI, we will choose different values of s

## Region-adaptive compression for reduction of climate data

#### • Motivation:

- It's common in scientific datasets that only a small portion of space are of interest
- Store region-of-interest (RoI) with more bits and compress the rest with lower precision, so that larger compression ratios can be achieved while task-interested information are preserved
- Key Techniques
- MGARD decomposition
- Critical region detection by applying mesh refinement on the decomposed coefficients
- Region-wise error control through multi-level extended buffer zone
- Mask-free, multi-error bounded data compression and reconstruction



**WOAK RIDGE** Gong, Q., Klasky, S., et al., 2022, Region-adaptive, Error-controlled Scientific Data Compression using Multilevel Decomposition, SSDBM022.

#### Progressive data reconstruction



Progressively fetch the data based on requested precision (i.e., tolerance  $\tau_i$ )

- Reduces data movement for requested precision
- Allow incremental data reconstruction, from low precision to high precision
- Asynchronous data streaming and data analyzing



#### Results of progressive retrieval on SDRB datasets

**Reduction in Retrieved Data Size** 

#### Reduction Retrieval and Recomposing

Comparing to SZ, ZFP, and nonprogressive MGARD: additional retrieval percentage under given PSNR when data of previous precision are available Comparing to SZ, ZFP, and nonprogressive MGARD: total retrieval time when data is transferred from High Performance Storage System and progressively reconstructed using 1024 cores on Summit



#### **Reduction in Analysis Time**

Comparing to SZ, ZFP, and nonprogressive MGARD: speed of iso-surface analysis when target PSNR is 60

Model	%	Resolu tion	Analysis time (s)	Analysis error
SZ	2.19%	512 <sup>3</sup>	60.83	2.25%
ZFP	1.78%	512 <sup>3</sup>	59.99	5.89%
MGARD	10.62%	257 <sup>3</sup>	10.99	5.08%
PMGARD	0.81	257 <sup>3</sup>	11.16	5.67%



**CAK RIDGE** National Laboratory Liang, X, Klasky, S., et al. (2021). Error-controlled, progressive, and adaptable retrieval of scientific data with multilevel decomposition. In SC'21 (pp. 1-13).

### Moving to the future: Control of quantities of interest

#### Theorem

Let  $V_0 \subset ... \subset V_L$  be space of continuous piecewise multilinear functions defined on uniform tensor product grids on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let Q be a *bounded linear functional* on  $V_L$ . Let  $u \in V_L$  with multilevel coefficients u\_mc. Let  $\tilde{u}_m$  be a set of multilevel coefficients and let  $\tilde{u} \in V_L$  be the corresponding function. Then the loss in quantity of interest is bounded by:

$$|\mathcal{Q}(u) - \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{u})| \leq \Upsilon_s(\mathcal{Q}) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^L 2^{2s\ell} \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{P}_\ell) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_\ell^*} |u\_\operatorname{mc}[x] - \tilde{u}\_\operatorname{mc}[x]|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

where  $\Upsilon_s(Q)$  is the operator norm of Q and can be mathematically derived

**WATE** Ainsworth, M., Klasky, S., et al. (2019). Multilevel techniques for compression and reduction of scientific data-quantitative control of accuracy in derived quantities. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 41(4), A2146-A2171.

## **XGC Fusion Code**

- A full-f gyrokinetic particle-in-cell (PIC) code which specializes in simulating kinetic transport in edge tokamak
- The code solves for a 5-dimensional ({ $r, z, \phi$ }, { $v_x, v_y$ }) particle distribution function f defined on unstructured-meshed radial-poloidal (RZ) planes



 A simulation modeling ITER-scale problems will typically contain trillions of particles and can each day produce over 200 PB of data

**WARE NOTE:** Ku, S., Klasky, S., et al. "Gyrokinetic particle simulation of neoclassical transport in the pedestal/scrape-off region of a tokamak plasma." Journal of Physics: Conference Series. Vol. 46. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2006.

## Comparing MGARD against state-of-the-art compressor: SZ

#### Ratio of compression ratios: SZ / MGARD



## MGARD shows more advantage on low-frequency QoIs and in situations when the requested error bounds are loose

**WOAK RIDGE** Gong, Q., Klasky, S., et al., (2021). Maintaining Trust in Reduction: Preserving the Accuracy of Quantities of Interest for Lossy Compression. In Smoky Mountains Computational Sciences and Engineering Conference (pp. 22-39). Springer, Cham.

## **Compression Framework - AEMC**

#### AEMC: combination of PD reduction (AEM) and constraint satisfaction (C).



- Auto-Encoder (AE): an artificial neural network that has an encoder and decoder for compression.
- Product Quantization (PQ): decompose high dimensional vector into the Cartesian product of subspace and then quantize the subspace vectors separately.
- MGARD: an error-bounded lossy compression technique that guarantees PD reconstruction error

J. Lee, S. Ranka, A. Rangarajan, et al. Error-bounded Learned Scientific Data Compression with Preservation of Derived Quantities, accepted to Artificial Intelligence Data Engineering in Engineering Applications, 2022.

## Qol Satisfaction for XGC – cont.



#### **IMPROVEMENT** of Qol by Constraint Satisfaction

- Works for various XGC timesteps.
- The dash line indicates the requirement of XGC scientists.



#### Averaged Qol

#### MGARDN: MGARD non-uniform (s=-1)

### Outline

R&D Analytics Visualization Understand simulations, experiments and observations

#### **Application Impact:**

Celeritas, DeepdriveMD, E3SM, GE, GENE, GEM, GTC, JAXA, KSTAR, LAMMPS, NNESH, PIConGPU, S3D, SKA, SPECFEM3D\_GLOBE, TAE, XGC, WarpX,WRF

Understanding new technologies



R&D Staging & Coupling R&D in Scientific Data Management

R&D Data Refactoring





## Hybrid Analysis of Fusion Data for Online Understanding of Complex Science on Extreme Scale Computers

- We examine a complex workflow using XGC on Summit, with three in situ analysis for new scientific discovery
- We execute XGC along with three analysis routines
  - Poincare Puncture Plot
  - Head Load calculation
  - Diffusion Calculation





**W**OAK RIDGE Suchyta, E., Klasky, S., et al., Hybrid Analysis of Fusion Data for online understanding of complex science on extreme scale computers, Cluster 2023.



International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research

# Using ADIOS2 to enable SKA-scale processing

Andreas Wicenec

On behalf of ICRAR DIA team and the Gordon Bell prize finalist team





Government of Western Australia Department of the Premier and Cabinet Office of Science







## Seismic Tomography Workflow (PBs of data/run) [2.2 TB/s]

#### **Scientific Achievement**

 Most detailed 3-D model of Earth's interior showing the entire from the surface to the core–mantle boundary, a depth of 1,800

#### **Significance and Impact**

- Updated (transversely isotropic) global seismic model GLAD-M2 used to simulate how seismic waves travel through the Earth. T processing are challenging even for leadership computer
- 7.5 PB of data is produced in a single workflow step
  - which is fully processed later in another step

#### **Improvement by appending steps**

- 3200 nodes ensemble run, 19200 GPUs
- 50 tasks at once
- 5.2 TB per task in 133 steps
- 260 TB total per 50 tasks
- 7.5 PB per 1500 tasks (total run)



50 tasks, 133 steps, 3200 nodes





Map views at 250 km depth of vertically polarized shear wave speed perturbations in GLAD-M15 (2017) and GLAD-M25 (2020) in the Indian Ocean. New features have emerged in GLAD-M25, such as the Reunion, Marion, Kerguelen, Maldives, Seychelles, Cocos and Crozet hotspots.

Time



OAK RIDGE Lei, Wenjie, et al. "Global adjoint tomography—model GLAD-M25." Geophysical Journal International 223.1 (2020): 1-21.

#### **Global Adjoint Tomography and Inversion Workflow**





Figure 1. Demo figure of the spectral-finite-element (SEM) mesh of the globe. This figure shows the the Earth is partitioned into finite element. During the forward simulation, we need to save the snapshots of wavefield at certain checkpoints. Degree of Freedom: ~10^10 (10 billion)

Figure 2: The workflow of adjoint tomography. In the forward simulation, the wavefield snapshots are saved on each mesh points. Those wavefield data will then be read back during the adjoint simulation for wavefield reconstruction. Given our current resolution and simulation length, each earthquake will generate ~1TB of wavefield snapshots file.





#### Fusion plasmas have a range of phenomena, manifest over multiple time and spatial scales

Understanding fusion plasmas requires extracting information from multiple diagnostics and simulations at these wide ranges of time and spatial scales



## Future R&D

- Edge to HPC integration for NRT command & control
- Data Management technologies for the convergence of HPC with AI/ML
- Prioritization of refactored data for use in streaming environments
- Accelerate analytics from refactored data
- Further extensions of our theory to bound nonlinear QoIs and work with complex unstructured meshes





**UMD NNESH** 





